

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,697	12/03/2001	David R. Bull	2490-15	3783
75	90 03/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Nixon & Vanderhye 1100 North Glebe Road 8th Floor			JOSEPH, JAISON	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A multi-sell-su All-	A 1: A - >				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/937,697	BULL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jaison Joseph	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>01 October 2001</i> .					
,—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition fo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1.5,6 and 8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>2 - 4, and 7</u> is/are objected to	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1.5,6 and 8 is/are rejected. 					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar ne correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies-of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 or PTO-14		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/937,697

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Page 3

Cross reference to related applications should be listed on the first paragraph of the specification. Applicant also reminded that to provide the application numbers for those incorporated references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, recites the term "symbol synchronization". However, claim 1 strictly direct to a method of estimating coefficients of an equalizer filter. Claim 1 does not define or merely suggest how symbol synchronization is related to the estimation of coefficients and how to employ the symbol synchronization. Therefore the recitation of "symbol synchronization is made on the least delayed path of the channel" cannot be understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of

an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Diehl et al. (US Patent 6,771,722).

Regarding claim 1, Diehl et al. teach a method of estimating coefficients of an equalizer filter which receives an input channel signal Y(n), initializing pre-selected coefficients of the equalizer filter in accordance with a calculate channel matched filter model, and estimating the coefficients of the filter using an estimation technique and a known input channel signal to this equalizer (see column 1, lines 45 – 55).

Regarding claim 5, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, further, Diehl et al. teach the estimation technique is least mean square technique (see column 1, lines 60 – 65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diehl et al. (US Patent 6,771,722) in view of Shoji et al. (US Patent 5,303,263).

Regarding claim 6, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, Diehl et al. failed to teach the estimation technique is a recursive least squares technique. Shoji et al. teaches a method of estimating the coefficients of the adaptive equalizer using

recursive least squares technique (see abstract). Therefore it would be obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Shoji et al.'s recursive least squares method in Diehl et al.'s method to benefit the higher convergence speed and higher estimation accuracy (see column 5, lines 41 – 44).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 – 4 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,697 Page 6

Art Unit: 2634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jaison Joseph 03/15/2005

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800